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Abstract
Background data: The vertebral column represents the most common bony site for metastasis with an incidence ranged from 30% to 70% in patients with metastatic neoplasms. The dorsal spine carries the highest frequent site for metastasis all over the vertebral column followed by the lumber spine. These metastatic lesions are clinical entities that often necessitate a complex spinal decompression and anterior reconstruction. Posterolateral approaches alone allow for excellent decompression with transpedicular fixation and safe visualization of the neural elements for corpectomy and reconstruction so we can avoid the complications that can happen with the staged surgery.

Purpose: Our aim in the study is to report cases and evaluate our approach for fixation and assess the postoperative period regarding pain improvement and neurological deficit.

Study design: A retrospective study included 26 patients presented to the Neurosurgery Department at Sohag University Hospital within 3 years, between August 2014 and August 2017. Evaluation was made through using the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale and muscle power grading scale.

Material and methods: Twenty-six patients with metastatic dorsolumbar spine lesions underwent a single-stage surgery by midline posterior approach. Posterior decompression with transpedicular fixation above and below the affected segment was done for all patients. Unilateral facetectomies and pediculectomy followed by corpectomy were done. Insertion of pyramesh titanium cage filled with iliac bone graft with tightness of the screws bilateral. Follow-up period was 6–12 months postoperatively.

Results: The average age was 58.36 ± 5.96 (range 33–67) years. More than two-thirds of them were males (69.2%). Majority of the lesions were dorsal (77%). Postoperative infection was observed in four patients (15.4%) who improved by IV antibiotics and frequent dressing while CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) leak occurred in three patients (11.5%) who stopped after daily dressing, and we made CSF lumbar tap in one patient. Twenty-two patients showed neurological improvement postoperatively (84.6%). The remaining four were paraplegic with no improvement. Back pain improved for all cases.

Conclusion: Posterolateral approach alone is efficient and safe for dorsolumbar decompression and reconstruction in the metastatic spine.

Trial registration: IRB#3747 registered on August 27, 2017
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Introduction

The vertebral column metastasis can contribute for about 30–70 % of metastatic lesions in metastatic neoplasm patients so it is the most common site for bony metastases [1, 2]. The dorsolumbar spine is the frequent site for metastasis and more than the cervical region, and lesions in this site often need a complex spinal decompression and reconstruction [3–5]. Traditionally, the dorsolumbar metastatic lesion surgeries necessitate combined approach or staged anterior and posterior decompression and fusion [6, 7].

Anterior approach carried the advantage of excellent visualization of the vertebral body and pathological condition; however, the possibilities of iatrogenic morbidity especially in critically ill patients make it a risky approach [8]. Posterolateral approaches appeared to have an excellent way for posterior decompression with transpedicular screw fixation and in the same time allows for safe visualization of the neural elements with corpectomy and placement of a mesh cage [4, 7, 9].

Our goal in this study is to report a clinical series of 26 patients in Sohag University Hospital with dorsolumbar metastatic lesions from different primaries treated by single-stage posterolateral circumferential corpectomy with reconstruction by a pyramesh titanium cage and account the degree of improvement regarding the pain.

Patients and methods

At the Neurosurgery Department in Sohag Faculty of Medicine, between August 2014 and August 2017, 26 patients with single dorsolumbar metastatic spinal lesions with vertebral body collapse underwent a single-stage, circumferential corpectomy and anterior spinal reconstruction with a pyramesh titanium cage via a midline, posterior, and lateral approach.

We included in our study patients with retropulsed fragment inside the canal that causes spinal cord compression with neurological manifestation in patients with expected life span of more than 1 year. Metastatic workup was done for our cases. Exclusion criteria include patients with more than one spinal metastasis or extra-spinal metastasis, patients with other comorbidities as cardiac ill patients, patients with chronic renal failure, and patients who received radiotherapy or chemotherapy within 1 year before surgery. In a preoperative neurological assessment, full laboratory investigations were done. We used the Quebec scale to assess the patients’ improvement regarding pain, and muscle power scale to evaluate the motor power improvement.

Operative technique

Under general anesthesia with hypotensive anesthesia technique, our patients were placed prone in radiolucent spine frame that allows for intraoperative AP (anteroposterior) and lateral imaging by C-arm. A standard midline posterior skin incision is done with subperiosteal dissection above and below the affected level. Cauterization was done for the bleeding points.

In the majority of our cases, transpedicular screws were placed bilaterally two levels above and two levels below the involved vertebra. However, in one case, we noticed a high level of wedged vertebrae with osteoporotic bone, so we lengthened the fixation levels in this patient. A rod is placed unilaterally contralateral to the side planed for pediculectomy and corpectomy, and gentle distraction is applied for stabilization of the spine during the manipulation for corpectomy.

At the affected vertebrae, laminectomy is carried out with the removal of the facet and then skeletonizing pedicle. Only laminectomy with facetectomies can be
done in one level above and below the affected vertebra to maximize the cephalic caudal working space between the nerve roots, which becomes critical during the pyramesh cage placement. At the dorsal spine lesions, we can sacrifice with the nerve root by ligation and bipolar cauterization to decrease the possibility of CSF leak.

At the aimed level, the transverse process is resected using a Kerrison rongeur and then exposed the lateral edge of the vertebral body. In the dorsal spine, disarticulation of the transverse process from the ribs should be done first. Subperiosteal dissection was performed using the Cobb. Packing sponge was applied very gently and slowly around the vertebral body to avoid the potential vascular, pleural, or peritoneal injury. A high-speed burr was then used cautiously to drill the pedicle and the vertebral body away from the exiting nerve root and the spinal cord.

Curettes were used to perform the discectomy above and below the involved vertebrae. If the vertebral body was completely destructed, we went for bilateral corpectomy, but if the lesion was unilateral, we performed a unilateral pediculectomy, discectomies, and corpectomy till the creation of an eggshell hole leaving the other side that augments the posterolateral fusion bed. Dorsal cortex should be preserved to minimize the epidural bleeding and protection of the spinal cord. Once the discectomies are completed, the vertebral body is hollowed using the high-speed burr and curved curettes.

Fig. 3 Origin of metastasis

The most challenging part of the procedure occurs with the pyramesh cage placement. Firstly, we measure the length of the suitable cage and filled with iliac crest bone graft.

Placement of the cage should be parallel to the nerve root with gentle retraction of the root. Once we entered the cage inside the corpectomy hole, we rotate it 90° until it is perpendicular to the adjacent vertebral endplates (Fig. 1).

Distraction was then performed under direct fluoroscopy with very gentle modulation of the cage to reach its appropriate position against the vertebral endplates without tilting (Fig. 2).

Finally, we placed the second rod with loosening of the first rod screws to make bilateral compression across the affected segments. All of the set screws were tightened and additional bone graft was then packed around the posterolateral contralateral side.

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft corporation, USA) and SPSS version 24 (May 2016, IBM corporation, USA). Qualitative data was presented as numbers and percentages, while quantitative data were presented as mean and standard deviation. Comparison between pre- and postoperative data regarding the Quebec scale and motor power was done using a paired $t$ test. $P$ value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Twenty-six patients were operated with metastatic dorsolumbar lesions with a predominance of male ratio.

Our patient's age ranged from 33–67 years with a mean of 58.36 ± 5.96 years. In our study, the dorsal metastatic lesions were more predominant that account for 20 cases. On the other hand, the lumbar metastatic lesions were only six cases.
Metastasis of unknown origin was reported in 10 patients. Postoperative histopathology showed they were adenocarcinomas from the colon, stomach, and kidney, and breast cancer was responsible for eight cases, four cases with prostatic cancer, three cases with small cell lung cancer, and the last case was with retroperitoneal sarcoma (Fig. 3).

Back pain was the main complaint for all our patients and we use the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale for pre- and postoperative assessment (Table 1 and Fig. 4).

Six patients were paraplegic grade zero more than 10 days preoperative. Improvement in the motor power occurred in two of them while the remaining four did not improve, but the sensation regained and the back pain improved (Table 2). In four cases, iatrogenic dural tear happened and repair was done simply. Three patients presented by postoperative CSF leak and stopped within 4 weeks after limitation of movement and medication. Superficial wound infection was noted in four cases which resolved with broad-spectrum antibiotics and daily dressings (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Spinal metastasis is the most commonly encountered tumor of the spine [10], occurring in more than 40% of patients with cancer. Each year, 5% of patients with cancer will develop spinal metastasis [11].

Breast cancer comes in the first place of causes of spinal metastasis (21%) (Fig. 5), followed by lung cancer (14%). Dorsal spine is the commonest site for metastatic affection, then lumbar region, and finally the cervical spine which is less frequent. Spinal metastasis can cause instability either by the destruction of the bony element or by pathological fracture which can occur under normal physiologic stress [12].

Partial or total destruction of the anterior vertebral body results in decreased load-bearing capacity of the spine and mostly resulted in spinal cord compression that needs decompression, fixation, and anterior reconstruction [10, 13]. Traditionally, these pathological conditions have been treated by a staged anterior and posterior decompression and fusion with a high risk for morbidity and mortality due to an anterior approach in generally ill patients (Figs. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12) [12, 14].

In 1976, Larson and his colleagues described the lateral extracavitary approach. The difficulty of the spinal reconstruction due to the unique anatomy of the lumbar spine with the association of increased blood loss and poor visualization across the midline has limited its widespread acceptance [15–17].

The extent of visualization is debatable and depends on the surgeon, but with using the magnifying loops or microscope in most cases, a unilateral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Preoperative and postoperative muscle power state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete paraplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired t test = 7.939, p value <0.001 (highly significant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Postoperative complications in our series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dural tear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial wound infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological deterioration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
approach may be performed safely to the contralateral pedicle with good visualization. A bilateral approach is appropriate in situations of primary malignancy or solitary metastatic disease where an en bloc spondylectomy is advisable. Blood loss can be minimized by skeletonizing the pedicle with resection of the transverse process, putting gelfom and surgicell in the lateral border of the vertebral body also by leaving the ventral cortex which provides us by a safe zone from epidural veins bleedings [18].

Still sacrificing the nerve root intraoperatively in lumbar region is a common surgical choice for placing the pyramesh, but we can avoid this by gently placing the root caudally and then we enter with the pyramesh parallel to the root and rotate it inside the corpectomy hole. In dorsal lesions, we can sacrifice the root by ligation and bipolar diathermy. Recently, with the advent of expandable metallic cages, all-posterior spinal reconstruction can be accomplished without sacrificing the lumbar spinal nerve roots. Hunt and colleagues described the use of an expandable cage in a single patient [16].

Leaving the ventral cortex after drilling the vertebral body provides us with the advantage of keeping both the spinal cord and the epidural veins away from intraoperative iatrogenic injury. Also, the slow
dissection through the lateral border of the vertebral body by the packing sponge protects the intra-abdominal vascular injury in the lumbar spine or the pleural injury in the dorsal spine [19].

Most authors agreed for transpedicular fixation of two levels above and two levels below the affected segment, but we can modulate this according to the quality of bone and if there is abnormalities along the spine in another segment or not [9]. In our study, we found a case with wedged vertebrae above the affected segment and this push us to lengthen the fixation segment.

Reduction in the operative time and avoidance of staged surgery with its morbidity and surgical blood loss give advance to the posterolateral approach. So, a single-staged lumbar extracavitary corpectomy and circumferential reconstruction should be a part of every spine surgeon’s armamentarium [20].

Recent advances in treatment options of spinal metastasis include minimally invasive approaches and radiosurgery which control the local tumor recurrence after separation surgeries, where surgery separate tumors from neural elements [21].

**Conclusion**

Posterolateral corpectomy and reconstruction approach in the management of metastatic dorsolumbar spine lesions with destruction of the vertebral body are effective and safe surgical approach with less time and minimal blood. All patients improved in back pain while most of them improved regarding the neurological deficit. A larger study advised for more evaluation and exposing complications.
Fig. 10 Three months follow-up of CT of the spine showing the transpedicular screws and the pyramesh (case 2)

Fig. 11 MRI of the dorsolumbar spine in a 30-year-old male patient with a history of retroperitoneal sarcoma shows dorsal 10–11 metastatic lesion

Fig. 12 Postoperative X-ray spine AP and lateral in the same patient (case 3)

Case (3)

Fig. 11 MRI of the dorsolumbar spine in a 30-year-old male patient with a history of retroperitoneal sarcoma shows dorsal 10–11 metastatic lesion
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